HUNTON& WILLIAMS

UPDATE

May 2008

Contacts

Laura Ellen Jones

Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 788-8746 ljones@hunton.com

David S. Lowman Jr.

1900 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1109 (202) 419-2070 dlowman@hunton.com

David B. Weisblat

1900 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1109 (202) 955-1980 dweisblat@hunton.com

Cameron N. Cosby

Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 788-8604 ccosby@hunton.com

Timothy L. Jacobs

1900 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1109 (202) 955-1669 tjacobs@hunton.com

IRS Rules Solar System at Low-Income Housing Project Not Financed with Private Activity Bond Proceeds

On May 16, 2008, the Internal Revenue Service released PLR 200820011 which holds that a taxpayer was not required to reduce its basis in a solar system subsequently installed at a low-income housing project. The housing project, but not the solar system, was financed using the proceeds of private activity bonds. A copy of the PLR is available by clicking here.

In PLR 200820011, the taxpayer was a partnership which was formed to construct, operate, manage, etc. a low-income housing project that was expected to be eligible for the low-income housing credit under section 42 of the Code. The cost of the housing project was funded by various sources, including the proceeds of multifamily housing revenue bonds (i.e., proceeds of a private activity bond within the meaning of section 141 of the Code). At the time the taxpayer acquired the land and obtained the financing for the project, the project's plans and budget included only conventional energy systems. However, the taxpayer contemplated adding a solar system to the project at a later date. Accordingly, the bond loan documents were amended to allow the taxpayer to add the solar system provided

that the system was redundant, not financed with bond loan proceeds, and not used as collateral for the bond loan. The taxpayer later added the "redundant" solar system to the project which the taxpayer anticipated would be eligible for section 48 tax credits.

Section 48(a)(4) provides for a reduction in basis for purposes of the section 48 investment tax credits if the property is financed (in whole or in part) by (i) subsidized energy financing, or (ii) the proceeds of a private activity bond (within the meaning of section 141) the interest on which is exempt from tax under section 103.

The Service concluded that the taxpayer was not required to reduce its basis in the solar system because: (i) the bond loan documents contain language prohibiting the bond loan proceeds from being used as financing for the system, (ii) bond loan proceeds were not used to finance the system, (iii) the system is redundant, and (iv) the system is not and cannot be used as collateral for the bond loan.

Private letter rulings may not be used or cited as precedent and are only applicable to the taxpayer who requested the ruling.

Atlanta • Austin • Bangkok • Beijing • Brussels Charlotte • Dallas • Houston • London Los Angeles • McLean • Miami • New York • Norfolk Raleigh • Richmond • Singapore • Washington © 2008 Hunton & Williams LLP. Attorney advertising materials. These materials have been prepared for informational purposes only and are not legal advice. This information is not intended to create an attorney-client or similar relationship. Please do not send us confidential information. Past successes cannot be an assurance of future success. Whether you need legal services and which lawyer you select are important decisions that should not be based solely upon these materials.