# The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

#### An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

May 2025

Editor's Note: Lessons Learned Victoria Prussen Spears

Lessons from 2024 Bank Secrecy Act / Anti-Money Laundering Enforcement Actions John ReVeal and Jeremy M. McLaughlin

Bankers Bond Insurance: Key Coverage Issues for Financial Institutions to Consider Geoffrey B. Fehling and Olivia G. Bushman

More on the Changes to the U.C.C.'s Definitions of Money Mercedes Kelley Tunstall and Christopher McDermott

Liability Management Exercises and the Litigation Quagmire H. Peter Haveles Jr.

Achieving Financial Stability and Resilience: How China Could Learn from the United States and the United Kingdom in Building a Financial Safety Net – Part II Lerong Lu and Ci Ren



## THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 142	NUMBER 5	May 2025
Editor's Note: Lessons	Learned	
Victoria Prussen Spears		195
Lessons from 2024 Bar Enforcement Actions	nk Secrecy Act / Anti-Money Laundering	
John ReVeal and Jeremy	M. McLaughlin	197
<b>Bankers Bond Insuran Institutions to Conside</b>	ce: Key Coverage Issues for Financial	
Geoffrey B. Fehling and	Olivia G. Bushman	207
More on the Changes t Mercedes Kelley Tunsta	211	
Liability Management H. Peter Haveles Jr.	Exercises and the Litigation Quagmire	216
	ability and Resilience: How China Could States and the United Kingdom in	
Lerong Lu and Ci Ren	aicty 11ct – I ait II	225



#### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the <b>Editorial Content</b> appearing in these volumes or replease call or email:  Matthew T. Burke at	(800) 252-9257		
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call or email:			
Customer Services Department at			
Fax Number	` '		
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940 (937) 247-0293		

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print) Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2025 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

## Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

#### EDITOR-IN-CHIEF STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **EDITOR**

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

**CARLETON GOSS** 

Partner, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

**DOUGLAS LANDY** 

White & Case LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

MICHAEL D. LEWIS

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Steptoe & Johnson LLP

**ANDREW OLMEM** 

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2025 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park. NY 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher. The opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of their employer, its clients, the editor(s), RELX, LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Co., Inc, or any of its or their respective affiliates.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

### Bankers Bond Insurance: Key Coverage Issues for Financial Institutions to Consider

#### By Geoffrey B. Fehling and Olivia G. Bushman\*

In this article, the authors discuss a number of recurring claims-related issues under bankers bond insurance that can result in coverage disputes.

Bankers blanket bond insurance – also referred to as bankers bonds, fidelity bonds, or financial institution bonds – provides financial institutions with protection against direct financial loss sustained as a result of criminal activity. Bankers bonds often cover:

- Losses caused through dishonesty of employees;
- Losses arising out of counterfeit currency;
- Loss in transit, including theft or physical destruction of property during transportation;
- Losses caused by computer systems fraud;
- · Losses caused by unauthorized signatures; and
- Losses caused by forged checks.

Bankers bonds have several unique features different from many other insurance types because they protect against losses incurred as a direct result of fraudulent or criminal activities from within the company. While most bankers bonds are already tailored to protect companies operating within the financial sector, they are a highly customizable risk management solution. Depending on the jurisdiction, financial institutions may be required to purchase a bankers bond to operate.

While coverage depends on the specific facts, policy language and circumstances giving rise to the loss, bankers bond claims present a number of recurring issues that can result in coverage disputes. Here are several key issues to consider.

#### DISCOVERY AND NOTICE

Unlike other coverages, which may turn on when an accident occurred or whether a claim was first made, bankers bonds typically apply based on whether the loss was first "discovered" during the policy period. Because discovery triggers coverage, the timing of when the company first becomes aware of a

<sup>\*</sup> The authors, attorneys with Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP, may be contacted at gfehling@hunton.com and obushman@hunton.com, respectively.

covered loss can become a contested issue if, for example, the insurer contends it occurred before the inception of the bond or if notice was not given in a timely manner.

The meaning of "discovery" is often defined in the bond, and small changes can impact whether or not a loss is covered. Whose knowledge is relevant for the purpose of discovery? What standard measures whether those individuals should assume a particular loss is covered? Does the bond distinguish between knowledge gained by facts versus receipt of actual or potential claims? The way bankers bonds address these and many other questions can often decide whether a loss is covered.

#### ENDORSEMENTS, RIDERS AND POLICY CUSTOMIZATION

Bankers bonds are as varied as the financial institutions that buy them. That means that bonds are not one-size-fits-all and can be heavily negotiated to provide greater and different coverages than what may be available "off the rack." These modifications are often accomplished through endorsements (or "riders") modifying or expanding coverage.

Banks can secure riders for a variety of different risks – reward payments, debit cards, safe deposit boxes, transit cash letters, unauthorized signatures, warranty statements, automated teller machines, audit and examination expenses, check kiting and email transfer fraud, just to name a few. Riders can even allow banks to recover "claim expenses," including legal fees, incurred in preparing and submitting covered claims for loss under the bond. Even the riders themselves are negotiable and can be modified.

#### **CAUSATION**

Many bankers bonds require that the policyholder show that a loss "resulted directly from" dishonest, criminal or malicious conduct. While this kind of causation language is common, disputes nevertheless arise over whether the offending conduct and loss are close enough in the timeline of events to fit within the bond's insuring agreement. For example, an insurer may contest whether a virus that infected the bank's computers is close enough in time or sequence to resulting loss to constitute covered computer systems fraud. In cases of employee dishonesty and fraud, financial institutions should be mindful of the bond's direct causation requirement.

#### **EXCLUSIONS**

Insuring agreements covering dishonest acts by employees often include significant carve outs that limit otherwise broad coverage for things like loans and trading losses. Those carve outs also can have important carve backs that preserve coverage if certain conditions are met. For example, most bonds will

exclude losses resulting from loans, unless the dishonest employee was in collusion with parties to the loan transaction and received some kind of improper financial benefit. But some bonds place monetary thresholds on the financial benefit required to preserve coverage or presume the requisite benefits were obtained under certain circumstances. Paying close attention to carve outs and exceptions and, if needed, negotiating broader coverage can strengthen critical protections against fidelity claims involving employees.

#### **ACTUAL LOSS**

An important threshold question in any bankers bond claim is whether a loss actually occurred. Despite the repeated use of "loss," many bankers bonds do not define the word, leaving it to courts to do so in the event of a dispute. One common theme in those disputes is whether the entity suffered an actual – rather than a theoretical – loss. In *Cincinnati Insurance Co. v. Star Financial Bank*, for example, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit defined "loss" as an "actual present loss, as distinguished from a theoretical or bookkeeping loss." Policyholders should be prepared to show an identifiable "loss" was suffered.

#### CYBER-RELATED EVENTS

Given the proliferation of cybersecurity incidents and related exposures across all industries, including finance, bankers bonds have increasingly offered expanded coverage for cyber-related losses. In some instances, coverage between a cyber policy and a crime policy, like a bankers bond, may overlap.

But bankers bonds can provide critical coverage for a financial institution's direct financial loss arising from a host of cyber incidents. Bonds can extend coverage to include perils such as extortion (including cyber-related extortion and ransomware) and erroneous transfer, social engineering fraud, computer fraud and similar cyber risks. Financial institutions should coordinate coverage between all policies, including bankers bonds and cyber policies, to ensure adequate protection from cyber risks and avoid gaps in coverage.

#### **CONCLUSION**

This non-exhaustive list highlights several common issues of focus to negotiate robust coverage for a range of risks under bankers bonds. The best time to assess those risks is before discovery of a loss or receipt of a claim. Financial institutions should be proactive in their pursuit of insurance and mindful of these key coverage issues relating to bonds. Retaining experienced

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cincinnati Insurance Co. v. Star Financial Bank, 35 F.3d 1186, 1191 (7th Cir. 1994).

#### The Banking Law Journal

coverage counsel, insurance brokers and other risk professionals during bond placement (and renewal) and early in the claims process can help maximize recoveries.